

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/543,865 04/05/2000		Cheol-jin Kim	PO6596USORFH	6382	
881 75	590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER		
	TAYLOR, PLC	NGUYEN, TUYEN T			
SUITE 900	FAIRFAX STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	2832			
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/543,865	j	KIM, CHEOL-JIN				
		-	Examiner		Art Unit				
			TUYEN T N	GUYEN	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status 1) Popularity to communication (a) filed on 20 Contract on 2002									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
, —	<i>,</i> —								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ C	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 16</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
		iction and/or e	election red	nuirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
	ne specification is objected to by the	he Evaminer							
	-			objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) includin								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment(s)									
	f References Cited (PTO-892)				(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (iion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/543,865

Art Unit: 2832

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art of figures 8 and 9 in view of Joseph [US 4,112,405] and Tabuchi et al. [US 5,941,357].

Applicant's admitted prior art of figures 8 and 9 discloses a microwave oven, as claimed, except for a accommodating portion for a temperature sensor disposed therewithin.

Joseph discloses a bobbin [29] for a coil [38] including a flange portion [30] having a pocket or accommodating means [31], provided separately from a core structure, for a temperature sensor/fuse [34], wherein the accommodating means, the temperature sensor/fuse and the coil structure being formed as an integral unit.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the temperature sensor accommodating means design of Joseph in applicant's admitted prior art of figures 8 and 9 for the purpose of facilitating installation.

Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Joseph discloses the instant claimed invention except for the temperature sensor being *completely enclosed* within the sensor accommodating portion.

Tabuchi et al. discloses a coil device [figures 8-10] including a winding wound about a bobbin structure having a sensor accommodating portion with a fuse [13] completely disposed

Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

therewithin, wherein the sensor accommodating portion, the fuse and the winding structure being formed as an integral unit.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to completely disposed the temperature sensor in the sensor accommodating portion of Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Joseph, as suggested by Tabuchi et al., for the purpose of protecting the sensor from damage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7 and 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-308-0821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TTN TTN

Trujen Nguyen